

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/790,973	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OFCHARSKY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DHIRU R PATEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2831	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) DHIRU R PATEL.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Auzville Jackson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,6,9 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The amendment to the above listed claims to clear up 35 USC 112 second paragraph problems.*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)